## Experimental Status of sin2β Measured from b→s Penguin Decays





Mathew Graham

SLAC

FPCP06: Vancouver April 9, 2006

#### **Time-Dependent CP-Violation**



# Measuring sin2 $\beta$ with charmless B-decays



B<sup>0</sup>→J/ψK<sup>0</sup> BR~ 10<sup>-3</sup> sin2β=0.69±0.03



<sup>B⁰→₀K⁰</sup> BR~ 10<sup>-5</sup>

# Sources of Standard Model pollution

There are many modes that dominantly decay via  $b \rightarrow s(q\overline{q})$ ...but not all modes were created equal



#### Expected deviations from sin2 $\beta$



2-body: [Beneke; PL B620, 143 (2005)] 3-body: [Cheng,Chua,Soni; PRD72, 094003 (2005)] There are various estimates for the deviation from sin2β due to SM pollution...most of them expect a *larger* value!

Measuring the rates of related modes help to pin down the magnitude of these deviations

#### **Status of the B-Factories**

Belle: 500 fb<sup>-1</sup>; current results based on 357 fb<sup>-1</sup>
(almost) all results from hep-ex/0507037 and are preliminary
BaBar: 330 fb<sup>-1</sup>; current results based on 210 fb<sup>-1</sup>
results come from variety of publications





#### **Detecting a signal...in general**

largest source of background comes from qq interactions
 use ΔE, m<sub>ES</sub>, and event shape to discriminate signal from background
 in case of quasi-2 body modes (φK<sup>0</sup>, n'K<sup>0</sup>, etc) can also us

 in case of quasi-2 body modes (φK<sup>0</sup>, η'K<sup>0</sup>, etc) can also use invariant mass and helicity information



### Tagging the B and measuring $\Delta t$



The  $\Delta t$  resolution function obtained from high stat. B $\rightarrow$ DX Events tagged using the charge of the leptons, kaons, pions Effective tagging efficiency (including mistag-rate) ~30%

#### The "golden" mode: **φK0**

 Considered the "golden" mode" because it's almost pure  $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$ •Use both  $\phi K_s$  and  $\phi K_l$ 

**BaBar:**
$$N(\phi K_s) = 114 \pm 12$$
 $N(\phi K_L) = 98 \pm 18$ **Belle:** $N(\phi K_s) = 180 \pm 16$  $N(\phi K_L) = 78 \pm 13$ 

•No energy measurement for the  $K_1$ ...constrain  $m_{FS}$  to  $m_B$ 







fit both the Ks and KL samples to a common S/C
good agreement between experiments!



#### SM pollution to $\phi K^0$ : $\phi \pi$



**NEW for '06** 



#### **SM pollution to** $\phi K^0$ : K\*<sup>0</sup>K







•The K<sub>s</sub> fly...utilize the beam spot constraint in order to determine the vertex...

•Allow (at most) one  $K_s$  to decay to  $\pi^0\pi^0$ 

**BaBar:** N(3K<sub>s</sub>)= 129 ± 13 **Belle:** N(3K<sub>s</sub>)=105 ± 12

#### K<sub>s</sub>K<sub>s</sub>K<sub>s</sub> time-dependent results



one of the cleanest modes w/r to SM pollution
also quite clean experimentally because of the 3K<sub>s</sub>'s

**Preliminary** 

BaBar:sin2β=0.63±0.30±0.04C=-0.10±0.25±0.05Belle:sin2β=0.58±0.36±0.08C=-0.50±0.23±0.06







 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{BaBar:}\\ N(K_sK_sK_L) = 23.0 \pm 23 \pm 6\\ ----Assuming \ phase \ space\\ BR(K_sK_sK_L) < 6.4 \times 10^{-6}\\ ----No \ Assumptions\\ BR(K_sK_sK_L) < 14 \times 10^{-6} \end{array}$ 

## The prediction\*\* for this mode is BR~6x10<sup>-6</sup>

\*\*Cheng,Chua,Soni; PRD72, 094003 (2005)

## K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>K<sup>0</sup> CP content





•K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>K<sup>0</sup> compliments φK<sup>0</sup> nicely with higher statistics...two problems
•not in a definite CP eigenstate
•tree contribution to NR component
•Fortunately, this decay is almost entirely CP-even (for K<sub>s</sub>-mode)

#### BaBar:

 $N(K^{+}K^{-}K_{s})=452 \pm 28$ f<sub>even</sub>=0.89 ± 0.08 ± 0.06 (moments) **Belle:** N(K^{+}K^{-}K\_{s})=536 \pm 29 f<sub>even</sub>=0.93±0.09±0.05 (SU2)

#### Excluding $\phi K_s$

#### K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>K<sup>0</sup> time-dependent results





The BaBar result includes 777 ± 80 K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>K<sub>L</sub> events(preliminary)

**Preliminary** 



#### **η'K<sup>0</sup> time-dependent results**



## NEW for '06 BABAR

## η'K<sup>0</sup> SM pollution: η'π<sup>0</sup>,ηπ<sup>0</sup>,η'η



See talk by J. Smith for more details on  $\eta' \pi^0$ 

### sin2 $\beta_{eff}$ from B<sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_s$ final states



•quite a few CP events in this decay including  $\rho^0 K_s$ and  $f_0 K_s$ •also a higher (f?) resonance ~1500 MeV •there is a possible tree diagram which contaminates the sin2 $\beta$ measurement •time-dep CP has been measured for  $f_0 K_s$  and (now)  $\rho^0 K_s$ 

Last summer, Belle presented a (time and tag independent) DP analysis of this mode...this approach will be important in the future! 21

#### NEW for '06



**Preliminary** 

#### ρ**Ks: a new mode**



#### NEW for '06



**Preliminary** 

#### ρKs results



**BaBar:** sin2β=0.17±0.52±0.26 C=0.64±0.41±0.25

•A large source of error comes from the possible CP-even under the rho (including interference effects)

still statistically limited

#### "other" modes...

#### ....which I don't have time to go into detail about.

Mode	<sin2β<sub>eff&gt;</sin2β<sub>	Comments
$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 K_s$	0.31±0.26	Novel vertexing techniqueSM pollution well understood?
$B^0 \rightarrow f_0 K_s$	0.75±0.24	possible tree contribution; what's an "f <sub>0</sub> "?
$B^0 \rightarrow \omega K_s$	0.63±0.30	tree contribution gives possibly large SM pollution
$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 K_s$	-0.84±0.72	Low statBaBar only

#### **Current status**



Taken on averge, there decent agreement with the SM expectation...
However, (almost) all measurements are *lower* than sin2β from J/ψK<sup>0</sup>
most models predict SM pollution to *increase* sin2β<sub>eff</sub>

#### The future of $sin2\beta_{eff}$



#### ...looks good!

The error on η'Ks alone should get down to <5%!</li>
will remain statistics limited for foreseeable

future