CLEO-c Hot Topics



Ron Poling CLEO Collaboration and University of Minnesota

> FPCP Vancouver April 9, 2006



<u>Outline</u>

 Introduction and overview of CLEO-c
 Leptonic *D* decays
 Semileptonic *D* decays
 Closed and open charm production *E*_{cm}=3970 – 4260 MeV
 Conclusions

CESR-c \approx CESR – 6.5 GeV + 12(wigglers)

R. Poling – FPCP Vancouver

Initial luminosity < original design. Various challenges, energy sensitivity in solenoid compensation \rightarrow "antisolenoid" a la DA Φ NE.

Initial results: +20% instantaneous (6.7×10^{31}) and "best day" (4.2 pb⁻¹), expect >5 pb⁻¹/day.



$\underline{CLEO-c} \approx \underline{CLEO} \, \underline{III} - \underline{SVX} + \underline{ZD} - \underline{SVX} + \underline{SVX}$



9 April 2006

Still at the top of its game: $\Delta p/p = 0.6\%$ at 1 GeV/c $\Delta E/E = 2.2\%$ at 1 GeV, 5% at 100 MeV Excellent electron and particle ID

Goals and Impact of CLEO-c

- Significant input for worldwide CKM program, both direct and indirect
- Precision charm measurements + theory → reduced uncertainties in B measurements
- Validation of lattice for QCD and (potentially) other stronglycoupled theories
- Crucial "engineering" input for others: branching fractions for normalization modes, etc.



CLEO-c Data Samples

- ψ(3770) for *D* decays
 - Initial results from 57 pb⁻¹
 - Updates with 281 pb⁻¹ (some at FPCP)
- Above $\psi(3770)$ for D_s decays
 - Preliminary scan to determine optimal energy
 - First run under way now (initial results at FPCP)
- $\psi(2S)$ one-stop charmonium shopping
 - Appetizer: 5.6 pb⁻¹ \rightarrow 3M $\psi(2S) \rightarrow$ 40 analysis results
- Scheduled to run through April, 2008. Luminosity improving, but still short of projections.
- What are the priorities for apportioning the remainder of the full CLEO-c data sample? (Stay tuned for "Conclusions")







Tagging *D* gives pure sample of *D* of known 4-momentum
 "Golden Modes" for best purity
 Additional modes (higher multiplicity, π⁰) for best efficiency
 Typical tagging efficiencies 15% for D⁰ and 10% for D^{+.}

Tags in action...

D Hadronic Decays (Details in talk of S. Stone)

Single Tags



Double Tags 3970305-002 600 D^+D^-



Single Tags + Double Tags \rightarrow Hadronic BFs

- 57 pb⁻¹ PRL **95**, 121801 ('05) update (281 pb⁻¹) coming soon
- $3 D^{0}$, $6 D^{+}$ modes, including key normalization modes
- Precision already comparable to PDG, benefits from cancellation of many systematic uncertainties

Other CLEO-c Results on Hadronic *D* Decays

- Non-tagged analyses, including Cabibbosuppressed decays D→2 to 5 pions. (Stone)
- Measurements of mixing, strong phases in *D* decays through quantum correlations at ψ(3770) and above. (Cinabro, Stone)
- First results on hadronic decays of D_s. (Stone)



Leptonic **D** Decays

160K charged *D* tags (6 modes)

Demand exactly 1 additional muon-like track, minimal extra energy.

Mode	Events
Data	50
$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$	1.4
$D^+ \rightarrow K_{long} \pi^+$	0.33
$D^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$	1.08
Total Bck:	2.81

$$D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$$

281/pb PRL 95 251801 ('05)

$$MM^{2} = (E_{beam} - E_{\mu^{+}})^{2} - (-p_{D^{-}} - p_{\mu^{+}})^{2}$$



$$BF(D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu) = (4.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$$
 $\implies f_{D^+} = (223 \pm 17 \pm 3) \text{ MeV}$ Same procedure, select e's:
 $BF(D^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) < 2.3 \times 10^{-5} (90\% \text{ CL})$ $= f_{D^+} = (201 \pm 3 \pm 17) \text{ MeV}$
LQCD (PRL 95 251801, '05)

Leptonic D Decays

 $D^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$

281 pb⁻¹ to be submitted to PRD

Complementary info: SM/lepton universality predicts BF $2.65 \times \mu v$.

Complementary analysis: selection of $D^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu \ (\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu)$ in events with tags selected as for $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$.

Sample subdivided based on energy deposit of candidate track: (a) <300 MeV and (b) >300 MeV.

Very slow $\tau \Rightarrow$ MM² peaks at low values





	(a)	(b)
Signal Region	12	8
Estimated BG	6.1±0.6±0.3	5.0±0.6±0.2
Net	5.9±3.5±0.3	3.0±2.9±0.2

 $BF(D^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu) \le 2.1 \times 10^{-3} (90\% \text{ CL})$

Ratio to SM rate <1.8

9 April 2006

R. Poling – FPCP Vancouver

Semileptonic D Decays

Inclusive $D \rightarrow Xe^+\nu$

281 pb⁻¹ to be submitted to PRL

Motivations:

- Cross-check with exclusives: saturation by lightest V & PS modes?
- Check equality of charged and neutral evidence of WA?
- Input for others: BF <u>and</u> spectra.

Use only purest tags:

- 47K D⁰→K⁻π⁺ (S/B≈60)
- 74K $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ (S/B \approx 25)
- e ID by dE/dx and RICH, p>200 MeV/c
- Unfold right- and wrongsign electrons to obtain true lab-frame spectra.

	D^+	D^0
Signal e^+		
Right-sign (raw)	8275 ± 91	2239 ± 47
Wrong-sign (raw)	228 ± 15	233 ± 15
Right-sign (unfolded)	9186 ± 103	2453 ± 54
Wrong-sign (unfolded)	231 ± 19	203 ± 19
Sideband $e^+(RS)$	$168 \pm \ 13$	15 ± 4
Sideband e^+ (WS)	11 ± 5	11 ± 4
Net e^+	8798 ± 105	2246 ± 57

 $D \rightarrow Xe^+ v$

Results

- Lab-frame momentum spectra dΓ/dp_e
- Fit observed spectra to models, including FSR. Use results to correct for p<200 MeV/c production.



- $BF(D^+ \rightarrow Xe^+\nu) = (16.13 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.33)\%$ (Exclusive sum: 15.1%)
- $BF(D^0 \rightarrow Xe^+\nu) = (6.46 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.13)\%$ (Exclusive sum: 6.2%)
- $\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow Xe^+ v) = (0.1551 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0035) \text{ps}^{-1}$
- $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow Xe^+\nu) = (0.1574 \pm 0.0041 \pm 0.0032) \text{ps}^{-1}$



57 pb⁻¹ – 60K
$$D^0$$
 tags, 32K D^+ tags

Select semileptonic decays among non-tag tracks, requiring

$$U = E_{\rm miss} - c |\mathbf{p}_{\rm miss}| \approx 0$$

 $(\sigma \sim 10 \text{ MeV})$

D⁺ PRL **95,** 181801 (2005) *D*⁰ PRL 95, 181802 (2005)



Upcoming: updated branching fractions with 281 pb⁻¹ and form factors for Ke_V and πe_V . Longer term: more modes, form factors in $D \rightarrow Ve_V$.

$D \rightarrow Pev$ Branching Fractions and Form Factors with v Reconstruction



Preliminary

No *D* tag required: reconstruct semileptonic *D* decay from its decay products, including the v.

$$P_{v} \equiv P_{\text{miss}} = P_{\text{event}} - P_{\text{visible}}$$
$$q^{2} = (P_{e} + P'_{\text{miss}})^{2}$$
$$P'_{\text{miss}} = \beta P_{\text{miss}} \quad (\beta \text{ gives } \Delta E = 0)$$

Tricks of the trade:

Get all the true showers/tracks, no false ones or duplicates.

Exclude impossible events!



$D \rightarrow Pev$ Branching Fractions and Form Factors with v Reconstruction

Using the reconstructed v and a signal K or π , reconstruct D in the usual way:

$$\Delta E = E_{K} + E_{e} + |\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{miss}}| - E_{\text{beam}}$$
$$M_{\text{bc}} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^{2} - (\boldsymbol{p}_{K} + \boldsymbol{p}_{e} + \boldsymbol{p}'_{\text{miss}})^{2}}$$

 $M_{\rm bc}$ distributions fitted simultaneously in 5 q^2 bins to obtain $d({\rm BF})/dq^2$. Integrate to get branching fractions and fit to obtain form-factor parameters.

$M_{\rm bc}$ fits for the four $D \rightarrow Pev$ modes (all q^2)



PRELIMINARY

Yields and Branching Fractions (281pb⁻¹)

D Decay	Yield (Eff. Corr.)	Yield (Uncorr.)	Br. Frac.
$D^0 \to K^{\pm} e v$	$72076 \pm 663 \pm 1230$	14395 ± 78	$3.56 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.10$ %
$D^0 o \pi^{\pm} e \ v$	$6097\pm223\pm139$	1346 ± 28	$0.301 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.010$ %
$D^{\pm} \to K^0 e \ V$	$136736 \pm 2054 \pm 2415$	5842 ± 54	$8.70 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.27$ %
$D^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^0 e \ v$	$5988\pm385\pm176$	$\textbf{450} \pm \textbf{17}$	$0.381 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.015$ %

Ratio	Measured	Ratio	Measured
$\frac{D^0 \to \pi^{\pm} e \ v}{D^0 \to K^{\pm} e \ v}$	$8.46 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.13$ %	$\frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to \pi^{\pm} e \ v)}{\Gamma(D^{\pm} \to \pi^0 e \ v)}$	$2.00\pm0.15\pm0.09$
$\frac{D^{\pm} \to \pi^{0} e \ \nu}{D^{\pm} \to K^{0} e \ \nu}$	$4.38 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.13$ %	$\frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to K^{\pm} e \ \nu)}{\Gamma(D^{\pm} \to K^0 e \ \nu)}$	$1.04\pm0.02\pm0.04$

PRELIMINARY

Form Factor Results

Simp. Pole

$$f^{+}(q^{2}) = \frac{f^{+}(0)}{\left(1 - q^{2} / m_{pole}^{2}\right)}$$

Decay Mode	$ V_{cx} f^+(0)$	m_{pole}
$D^0 o \pi^{\!\pm} e u$	$0.146 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003$	$1.87 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01$
$D^0 \to K^{\pm} e v$	$0.736 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.010$	$1.98 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$
$D^{\pm} ightarrow \pi^0 e u$	$0.152 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.004$	$1.97 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$
$D^{\pm} \rightarrow K^0 e v$	$0.719 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.012$	$1.97 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02$

Mod. Pole
$$f^{+}(q^{2}) = \frac{f^{+}(0)}{(1-q^{2}/m_{pole}^{2})(1-\alpha q^{2}/m_{pole}^{2})}$$

Decay Mode	$ V_{cx} f^+(0)$	α
$D^0 o \pi^{\!\pm} e u$	$0.142 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003$	$0.37 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$
$D^0 \to K^{\pm} e v$	$0.734 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$	$0.19 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03$
$D^{\pm} ightarrow \pi^0 e u$	$0.151 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.004$	$0.12 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.05$
$D^{\pm} ightarrow K^0 e v$	$0.718 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.012$	$0.20 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$

9 April 2006

PRELIMINARY V_{cs} and V_{cd} Results

Combine $|V_{cx}|f(0)$ with LQCD value for f(0)PRL **94**, 011601 (2005)

Decay Mode	$ V_{cx} \pm (stat) \pm (syst) \pm (theory)$	PDG (HF) Value
$D^0 o \pi^{\!\pm} e { m V}$	$0.221 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.028$	0.224 ± 0.012
$D^0 o K^{\pm} e v$	$1.006 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.103$	$0.996 \pm 0.013 \ (0.976 \pm 0.014)$
$D^{\pm} ightarrow \pi^0 e V$	$0.235 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.029$	0.224 ± 0.012
$D^{\pm} ightarrow K^0 e V$	$0.984 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.101$	$0.996 \pm 0.013 \ (0.976 \pm 0.014)$

Precision comparable to exclusives with tags; much independent information. Combined results will give best precision.

Open Charm and Charmonium Production in e^+e^- Annihilations $E_{CM} = 3970-4260$ GeV

- Primary CLEO-c Motivations:
 - Determine optimal energy for D_s studies.
 - Assess capabilities for *D* physics above $\psi(3770)$.
- Additional Objectives:
 - Detailed study of "intricate behavior" of hadronic cross section in the region above open-charm threshold.
 - Y(4260): confirmation, more details.



- Scan Data Sample:
 - 12 energies, 60 pb⁻¹
- First real *D_s* Run at 4170 MeV:
 - Part 1: 76 pb⁻¹ (results at FPCP)
 - Part 2: under way, expected total >150 pb⁻¹

Objective: Determine Optimal Energy for D_s Physics Procedure: Measure Cross Sections for 8 Event Types

- Use 3 D⁰, 5 D⁺, and 8 D_s⁺ modes to select charmed mesons.
- Do not reconstruct D*, since momentum (or M_{bc}), differentiates event types.
- For *DD* and $D_s D_s$ cut on ΔE and use M_{bc} to extract yields.
- For other event types cut on M_{bc} and use invariant mass to extract yield.
- Cut values determined by kinematics – no double counting allowed, cross-feed small and calculable.

MC $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ at E_{cm} =4160 MeV





Closer look: selecting D_s



9 April 2006

R. Poling – FPCP Vancouver

Complication: Not all charm events fall into one of the 8 final states, with two charmed mesons and nothing else!

Evidence for "multibody" (MB) events, e.g. $e^+e^- \rightarrow DD^*\pi$:



PRELIMINARY

Partial systematic uncertainties Multibody correction not applied Not radiatively corrected



9 April 2006

R. Poling – FPCP Vancouver

PRELIMINARY

Partial systematic uncertainties Not radiatively corrected



9 April 2006

R. Poling – FPCP Vancouver

Cross-Check: Inclusive vs. Exclusive

PRELIMINARY

Partial systematic uncertainties Not radiatively corrected



Exclusive:

Sum of (up to) 8 modes with two charmed mesons (no MB)

• Inclusive charm:

 $D^0 + D^+ + D_s$

▲ Inclusive Hadrons:

Excess over uds

Good agreement between two inclusives.

Excess of inclusive over exclusive reaffirms MB.

Scan Conclusion

- *D_s* "Mini-Factory" at *E_{CM}*=4170 MeV has been selected and is in operation.
- σ(*D*_s*D*_s^{*}) ≈ 1 nb
 - Precise value depends on D_s branching fractions.
- Analysis is under way of the first 76 pb⁻¹ for the D_s program. Some preliminary results on hadronic D_s decays will be presented by Sheldon Stone on Tuesday morning.

CLEO Investigations of Y(4260)

BaBar discovery

PRL 95, 142001 (2005)



CLEO III Confirmation

$\textbf{PRELIMINARY}-4.9\sigma$



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) J^{PC}=1^{--}$...at a minimum in the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

Many possible explanations: hybrids, tetraquarks, molecular states, conventional $\psi(4S)+QM$ What can CLEO-c say?

Charmonium Decays of $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and Y(4260)

CLEO-c hep-ex/0602034 Submitted to PRL



Search for 16 final states with J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$, χ_{cJ} , ϕ Use $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S)$ to verify efficiency, background, luminosity.

- $Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ confirmed (11 σ)
- First observation of $Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$ (5.1 σ) and first evidence for $Y(4260) \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$ (3.7 σ), plus in-progress opencharm studies should narrow the explanations.

CLEO-c: Summary and Prospects

- CLEO-c is already having major impact on our knowledge of charm, with broader implications for all of flavor physics.
 - Measurements of leptonic *D* decays, hadronic *D* decays, semileptonic *D* decays (including exclusive form factors), charm prcharm production, *Y*(4260),....
- Excellent prospects for much more:
 - Scheduled to run through April, 2008; plan designed to achieve maximum physics returns on the likely luminosity budget.
 - 750 pb⁻¹ at 3770 for *D* physics, 750 pb⁻¹ at 4170 for D_s (and *D*) physics and \geq 30 million psi(2S).

Quantity	Exp. Precision
$BF(D^0 \to K^- \pi^+)$	±1.25%
$BF(D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$	±1.4%
$BF(D_s \rightarrow \phi \pi^+)$	±4%
$BF(D^+ \rightarrow \mu \nu)$	±9%
$BF(D_s \rightarrow \mu \nu)$	±9%
$BF(D^0 \to \pi^- e^+ v)$	±2.3%
V _{cd}	±2%
FF param. α	±0.05